

Generative Information Retrieval

SIGIR 2024 tutorial – Section 5

Yubao Tang^a, Ruqing Zhang^a, Zhaochun Ren^b, Jiafeng Guo^a and Maarten de Rijke^c <https://generative-ir.github.io/>

July 14, 2024

a Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences & UCAS b Leiden University</sup> ^c University of Amsterdam

Section 5: Inference strategies

- A single identifier to represent a document:
	- Constrained beam search with a prefix tree
	- Constrained greedy search with the inverted index
- A single identifier to represent a document:
	- Constrained beam search with a prefix tree
	- Constrained greedy search with the inverted index
- Multiple identifiers to represent a document
	- Constrained beam search with the FM-index
	- Scoring functions to aggregate the contributions of several identifiers

Single identifier: Constrained beam search with a prefix tree

- For docids considering order of tokens
- Applicable docids: Naively structured strings, semantically structured strings, product quantization strings, titles, n-grams, URLs and pseudo queries

Single identifier: Constrained beam search with a prefix tree

- For docids considering order of tokens
- Applicable docids: Naively structured strings, semantically structured strings, product quantization strings, titles, n-grams, URLs and pseudo queries
- Prefix tree: Nodes are annotated with tokens from the predefined candidate set. For each node, its children indicate all the allowed continuations from the prefix defined traversing the tree from the root to it

Example

Single identifier: Constrained greedy search with the inverted index

• Applicable docids: Important terms

- Applicable docids: Important terms
- Inverted index table: Enable the generation in any permutations (unordered docids) are constructed
- Applicable docids: Important terms
- Inverted index table: Enable the generation in any permutations (unordered docids) are constructed
- Generation process: The model is expected to produce docids of the highest generation likelihood. At each step of generation, the terms from the inverted index table which give rise to the top-K generation likelihood are greedily selected

Constrained beam search vs. Constrained greedy search

Multiple identifiers: Constrained beam search with the FM-index

• Applicable docids: N-grams based docids

Multiple identifiers: Constrained beam search with the FM-index

- Applicable docids: N-grams based docids
- FM-index: An index combining the Burrows-Wheeler Transform (BWT) with a few small auxiliary data structures

"Autoregressive Search Engines: Generating Substrings as Document Identifiers". [Bevilacqua et al. \[2022\]](#page-29-2)

Given an input query q, we obtain the weight of each predicted n-gram n .

$$
score(n,q) = \max\left(0, \log \frac{P(n|q)(1-P(n))}{P(n)(1-P(n|q))}\right),
$$

where $P(n|q)$ is the probability of the generative model decoding *n* conditioned on q, and $p(n)$ denotes the unconditional n-gram probability.

How to aggregate the contribution of multiple generated n-gram identifiers to its corresponding documents?

The document-level rank score combines the n-gram level rank score score(n, q) and coverage weight $cover(n, K)$:

$$
score(d, q) = \sum_{n \in K^d} score(n, q)^{\alpha} \times cover(n, K),
$$

where K denotes all the generated n-grams, K^d is the subset of n-grams in K that appear in d, α is a hyperparameter

For docid repetition problem

• Coverage weight $cover(n, K)$: Avoid the overscoring of very repetitive documents, where many similar n-grams are matched

$$
cover(n, K) = 1 - \beta + \beta \frac{|set(n) \setminus C(n, K)|}{|set(n)|},
$$

where β is a hyperparameter, set(n) is the set of tokens in n, and $C(n, K)$ is the union of all tokens in K with top- g highest scores

"Autoregressive Search Engines: Generating Substrings as Document Identifiers". [Bevilacqua et al. \[2022\]](#page-29-2)

The document-level rank score: Sum of the scores of its covered docid

$$
score(q, d) = \sum_{i_d \in I_d} P(i_d|q),
$$

where $P(i_d | q)$ is the generated likelihood score of the docid i_d of the document d. And I_d denotes the docids generated for d

• The memory footprint of the GR model GenRet is smaller than that of the traditional dense retrieval method GTR, e.g., 1.6 times

Inference efficiency: Offline latency

• GenRet takes a longer time for offline indexing, as the use of auxiliary models. GTR's offline time consumption comes from document encoding

Inference efficiency: Online latency

• Compared with the traditional dense retrieval model GTR, the GR model GenRet is faster, e.g., 12 times

• How to generate valid docids?

- How to generate valid docids?
	- Constrained generation mechanism based on prefix tree, inverted index table or FM-index
- How to generate valid docids?
	- Constrained generation mechanism based on prefix tree, inverted index table or FM-index
- How to organize the docids for large scale corpus?
- How to generate valid docids?
	- Constrained generation mechanism based on prefix tree, inverted index table or FM-index
- How to organize the docids for large scale corpus?
	- Exploiting the structured docid space
- How to generate valid docids?
	- Constrained generation mechanism based on prefix tree, inverted index table or FM-index
- How to organize the docids for large scale corpus?
	- Exploiting the structured docid space
- How to generate a ranked list of docids for a query?
- How to generate valid docids?
	- Constrained generation mechanism based on prefix tree, inverted index table or FM-index
- How to organize the docids for large scale corpus?
	- Exploiting the structured docid space
- How to generate a ranked list of docids for a query?
	- One-by-one generation based on likelihood probabilities

References

References i

- M. Bevilacqua, G. Ottaviano, P. Lewis, W.-t. Yih, S. Riedel, and F. Petroni. Autoregressive search engines: Generating substrings as document identifiers. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 31668–31683, 2022.
- N. De Cao, G. Izacard, S. Riedel, and F. Petroni. Autoregressive entity retrieval. In International Conference on Learning Representations, 2021.
- Y. Li, N. Yang, L. Wang, F. Wei, and W. Li. Multiview identifiers enhanced generative retrieval. In 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, pages 6636–6648, 2023.
- W. Sun, L. Yan, Z. Chen, S. Wang, H. Zhu, P. Ren, Z. Chen, D. Yin, M. de Rijke, and Z. Ren. Learning to tokenize for generative retrieval. In Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2023.
- P. Zhang, Z. Liu, Y. Zhou, Z. Dou, and Z. Cao. Term-sets can be strong document identifiers for auto-regressive search engines. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13859, 2023.